Interview Summary	Application No. 09/669,301	1.1/2/2010/11/12/20		
	Examiner Arun Chakre	abarti	Art Unit 1634	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	_		
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3) Mic	chael	_Krawz	sonok
(2) Thomas Boyce	(4)			<u> </u>
Date of Interview Oct 24, 2002				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applican  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	t 2)□ applicant's re e)⊠ No. If yes, bi	presentativ	ve] tion:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> dentification of prior art discussed: All				
dependent blaims are allow and teaching an RNASe, to amend claims, and clear, especially less inserting second soluble sauthdies of Applicant's and favorably. However, further	foremed that was a boremed that was a walle in all authority. At all authority and the through a word are different and consideroation	egreed to in a sluce shican ke the sure sure sure sure sure sure sure sur	in 56 consider	advise more brost an
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callable, a summary thereof must be attached.)  il X	oopy of the amendmen	is mat wo	uld render the cla	aims allowab
il⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepailess the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM, CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPL Pady been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO BSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	AL WRITTEN REPLY TO EP section 713.04). If	O THE LAS a reply to	T OFFICE ACTION the last Office and	ON MUST

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required